IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

WEDNESDAY, THE TENTH DAY OF NOVEMBER
TWO THOUSAND AND FOUR

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE N.V. RAMANA

WRIT PETITION NO : 4536 of 1996

Between:

1. J.Sunil, S/o. J.Isaac,
   Process Camera Man (Offset),
2. G.Krishnaiah, S/o. G.Yadaiah,
3. S.N.Venkat Rao, S/o. M.Lakshmi Narayana,
4. P.Shankaraiah, S/o. P.Antaiah,
5. M.Satish Kumar, S/o. M.Satyanarayana,
6. K.Venkatesh, S/o. K.Sriramulu,
7. N.Muralidhar, S/o. N.Narayana Swamy,
8. S.Deepak Babu, S/o. S.Maheshwar Rao,
9. P.Narender, S/o. P.Maraiah,

All the petitioners are working in Government Central PRess,
Chanchalguda, Hyderabad,

..... PETITIONERS

AND

1. Principal Secretary to Government,Home (Printing) department
   Secretariat, Hyderabad.
2. Secretary to Government, Finance and Planning (F.W) Department,
   Secretariat, Hyderabad.
3. Commissioner of Printing, Stationary and Stores Purchase,
   A.P. Hyderabad.
4. Additional Director of Printing, Govt.Central Press,
   A.P.Hyderabad.
5. Apprenticeship Adviser, Apprenticeship Advisory Board,
   A.P.Hyderabad.

(R5 dismissed for default as per court order

dt.4.4.2000)

.....RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the Affidavit filed herein the High Court will be pleased to issue a Writ, order or direction particularly a writ in the nature of *Mandamus*, declaring the action of the respondents 1 and 2 in directing the 3rd respondent to discontinue the scheme with effect from 1.3.1996 through the impugned G.O. Rt. No. 170 dated 27.1.1996 as totally illegal, without jurisdiction and violative of Articles 14 and 21 of the Constitution of India and set aside the same and consequently direct the respondents to continue the petitioners as apprentices in terms of their contract of Apprenticeship under Sec.11 and pay the stipend at the revised rates under Sec.13 of Apprentices Act, 1961 and to pass such other order or orders as this Hon'ble Court may deem fit and proper in the circumstances of the case.

Counsel for the Petitioner: MR.S.LAKSHMA REDDY

Counsel for the Respondents: GP FOR EMPLOYMENT & TRAINING

The Court made the following:

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE N.V. RAMANA

WRIT PETITION No.4536 of 1996

ORDER:

The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the cause in the writ petition does not survive and has become infructuous.

Recording the same, the Writ Petition is dismissed as having become infructuous. No costs.

_____

N.V.RAMANA,J

Date: 10th November, 2004.

Ksn

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

// TRUE COPY //

SECTION OFFICER

To

1. Principal Secretary to Government, Home (Printing) department
   Secretariat, HYDERABAD.
2. Secretary to Government, Finance and Planning (F.W) Department,
   Secretariat, HYDERABAD.
3. Commissioner of Printing, Stationary and Stores Purchase,
   A.P. HYDERABAD.
4. Additional Director of Printing, Government Central Press,
   A.P. HYDERABAD.
5. Apprenticeship Adviser, Apprenticeship Advisory Board,
   A.P., HYDERABAD.

6. Two C. Cs to G.P. for Employment & Training, High Court Buildings,

HYDERABAD (OUT).

7. Two C.D. copies